

E-ISSN : 2790-2404
P- ISSN 2070-9250
Qadaya siyasiyyat

وزارة التعليم العالي والبحث العلمي
جامعة النهريين
كلية العلوم السياسية

Ministry of Higher Education
& Scientific Research
Al-Nahrain University
College of Political Science



قضايا سياسية Political Issues

مجلة فصلية محكمة

العدد ٨٤
Issue 84

كانون الثاني - شباط - آذار / ٢٠٢٦
Jan. - Feb. - Mar. / 2026

قضايا سياسية

العدد ٨٤

٢٠٢٦





قضايا سياسية Political Issues

جامعة النهرين
كلية العلوم السياسية

E-ISSN 2790-2404
P- ISSN 2070-9250
DOI prefix: 10.58298

مجلة فصلية محكمة تعنى بنشر الأبحاث والدراسات السياسية العراقية والعربية والدولية
<http://pissue.iq>

مدير التحرير

م.د محمد محي محمد
كلية العلوم السياسية - جامعة النهرين

رئيس هيئة التحرير

أ.د. احمد غالب محي
كلية العلوم السياسية - جامعة النهرين

هيئة التحرير

- أ.متمرس د. رياض عزيز هادي
أ.متمرس د. فكرت نامق عبد الفتاح
أ.متمرس د. صالح عباس محمد
أ.متمرس د. عبد الصمد سعدون عبد الكريم
أ.د. ياسين سعد محمد
أ.د. كاظم علي مهدي
أ.د. محمد كريم كاظم
أ.د. لبنى خميس مهدي
أ.د. وليد سالم محمد
أ.د. اباد عبد الكريم زنكنة
أ.د. ياسر عبد الزهراء عثمان
أ.د. مرتضى ساهي شنشول
أ.د. احمد عبد السلام وليد
أ.د. عبد الحسين شعبان
- المساعد السابق لرئيس جامعة بغداد للشؤون العلمية .
جامعة النهرين - كلية العلوم السياسية
جامعة النهرين - كلية العلوم السياسية
جامعة النهرين - كلية العلوم السياسية
جامعة النهرين - كلية العلوم السياسية.
جامعة النهرين - كلية العلوم السياسية.
جامعة النهرين - كلية العلوم السياسية.
وزارة التعليم العالي والبحث العلمي.
جامعة الموصل - كلية العلوم السياسية.
جامعة كركوك - قسم العلوم السياسية .
جامعة البصرة - كلية القانون
جامعة ميسان - كلية العلوم السياسية.
جامعة الاسكندرية - مصر
الكلية الجامعية للاعنف وحقوق الانسان (لبنان).

الفريق الفني والاداري

م.برمج . رؤى عبد الحسين
أدارة الموقع الالكتروني
مدير . فرح سهيل
الشؤون الادارية والمالية
د. زهراء كريم جاسم
متابعة الابحاث

م.د محمد مجيد حسين
ابحاث طلبة الدراسات العليا
م.د. مصطفى صادق عواد
ادارة صفحات التواصل
أ.د. حذام بدر
تدقيق اللغة العربية

البحوث المنشورة تعبر عن آراء أصحابها وليس بالضرورة عن رأي المجلة

قواعد النشر

- لغة المجلة هي اللغة العربية والانكليزية على أن يراعى الوضوح وسلامة النص.
- ترحب المجلة بنشر البحوث والدراسات السياسية النظرية والتطبيقية ولا سيما التي تجعل من قضايا المنطقة والعالم محط اهتمامها، ماضياً وحاضراً ومستقبلاً، وعلى وفق الآتي:
 1. أن لا يزيد عدد صفحات البحث أو الدراسة عن (15) صفحة مطبوعة بحجم خط (14) والتباعد (1,15) ونوع الخط Simplified Arabic تقدم عبر المنصة الاليكترونية للمجلة على الرابط :
<https://pissue.iq/index.php/pissue/about/submissions>
 2. أن تتصف البحوث والدراسات بالموضوعية والدقة العلمية.
 3. أن تعتمد الترتيم العشري للعناوين الأساسية والفرعية او التصنيف المعياري العام.
 4. يرفق مع كل بحث او دراسة ملخصين (احدهما باللغة العربية والآخر باللغة الانكليزية/ يتضمن اهداف البحث ، المنهج والمعالجة ، ابرز النتائج واهم الاستنتاجات والمقترحات) مع ضرورة مراعاة ان الملخص مختلف اختلافا جذريا عن المقدمة وليس تكرارا لها .
 5. تخضع جميع البحوث المقبولة للنشر الى نظام الاستلال الالكتروني في كلية العلوم السياسية -جامعة النهريين.
 6. يرفق مع كل بحث ودراسة سيرة ذاتية مختصرة للباحث وتعهده .
- تقوم المجلة بإخطار الباحثين بإجازة بحوثهم أو دراساتهم من عدمها بعد عرضها على محكمين تختارهم على نحو سري من بين أصحاب الاختصاص.

مجلة قضايا سياسية

pissue.iq

- يجوز للمجلة أن تطلب إجراء تعديلات شكلية أو شاملة على البحث أو الدراسة قبل إجازتها للنشر بما يتماشى مع أهدافها.
- البحوث المنشورة تعبر عن آراء أصحابها ، ولا تعبر عن رأي المجلة .
- ترحب المجلة بالمناقشات الموضوعية لما ينشر فيها أو في غيرها من الدوريات وبأية ردود فكرية أو تصويب، وكذلك ترحب بنشر التقارير عن المؤتمرات والندوات ذات العلاقة ومراجعات الكتب وملخصات الرسائل الجامعية التي تتم إجازتها على أن تكون من إعداد أصحابها.

توجه جميع المراسلات إلى هيئة التحرير على العنوان الآتي
مجلة قضايا سياسية، كلية العلوم السياسية، جامعة النهرين-بغداد – الجادرية.

E.mail: pirj@nahrainuniv.edu.iq

الموقع الإلكتروني

<https://pissue.iq/index.php/pissue>

E-ISSN 2790-2404

P- ISSN 2070-9250

DOI prefix: 10.58298

مجلة علمية سياسية فصلية محكمة تصدرها كلية العلوم السياسية – جامعة النهرين

<https://pissue.iq/index.php/pissue>

Table of Contents

No.	Search name	Page number
1	The Genocide Economy: Mechanisms of Transnational Corporate Support for the Israeli Government in the Gaza War Dr. Dhahir Abdullah Alwa Prof. Dr. Emad Salah Al-Sheikh Dawood	1_16
2	Energy Security, Geopolitical Competition and The Reshaping Role of Renewables Prof. Dr.Nisreen Riad Shansul	17_39
3	Employing smart power in US foreign policy towards the Middle East after 2011 Prof. Dr. Abbas Saadoun Rif'at Prof. Dr. Salman Ali Hussein	40_56
4	Entropy: An Analytical Framework in Strategic Studies (From Thermodynamic Principles to National Security Applications) Professor Dr. Ali Hussein Hameed Dr. Safaa Subhi Hamodi	57_81
5	The Geopolitical Determinants of Arminia's Location in Its Impact on Formulating the Russian Strategy Concerning the South Caucasus: A Geopolitical Critical Study Asst. Prof.dr. Mustafa Jaber Fayyadh	82_97
6	The World Bank's Impact on Political Systems in the Middle East Dr. Asaad Ghali Hamzha	98_114
7	The impact of Iraqi-Turkish political relations on trade between the two countries: an analytical study for the period (2004-2022) Dr. ZAINALABDEEN MOHAMMED ABDuLHussen	115_129
8	From Primacy to Fragmented Multipolarity: Systemic Change through Power-Conversion Channels Mohamed Ibrahim Hassan Farag	130_156

9	The United States' approach to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict under the Donald Trump administration Surad Hassan Rahim Nashwan Jabbar Kadhim	157_177
10	Ways to Combat Cyber Terrorism at the International Level: Iraq as a Case Study Asst. Lecturer. Shahad Qasim Mohammed	178_193
11	The Digital Media and the Construction of the Violent Narratives: An Analytical Study of Media Coverage (Tawafan Al-Aqsa as a Case Study) Assitant lecturer Sarah Adeeb Rasheed Assitant lecturer Shatha Lateef Abdul Rassul Assitant lecturer Zainab Hassan Kate	194_205
12	The Status of Sanctions in US Foreign Policy: A Study on the Magnitsky Act Assistant Lecturer Zainab Hassan Khalaf	206_221
13	Subject Review Dr. Faisal Ghazi Nasser	222_226
14	Subject Review Dr. Omar Saadi Salim Al-Musawi	227_233
15	Subject Review Ali Diyaa Rabee	234_242
16	Subject Review Walaa Ali Farhan	243_248
17	Subject Review Asst. Lecturer. Omar Salman Jasim	249_253
18	Subject Review Asst. Prof. dr. Majid Hameed Khdair	254_256
19	Book presentation Presentation: Prof. Shaima Adel Fadel Translation of presentation: Prof. Edhah Numan Khazaal	257_262

Subject Review ▽

Rents, Rules, or Revolution: A Survey of Institutional Pathways to Peace**Published in:**

"Mayoral, L.* , & Mueller, H.**: **Rents, Rules, or Revolution: A Survey of Institutional Pathways to Peace**, *Economic Policy*, Oxford University Press, *Economic Policy*, Volume 40, Issue 123, July 2025, Pages 721–759.

https://academic.oup.com/economicpolicy/article/40/123/721/8241961?login=false&utm_source=chatgpt.com

Reviewed by: Walaa Ali Farhan***

This Companion provides a critical reading of Mayoral and Mueller's article Rents, rules, or revolution: a survey of institutional pathways to peace, published in the journal *Economic Policy*, through a systematic assessment of his theoretical and methodological contribution to the literature of peace and institutions. The critique focuses on how the essay organizes the field of knowledge across three institutional pathways, questioning the limits of this categorization in terms of methodological rigor and conceptual consistency. The authors also discuss the strengths of the review's comprehensiveness and its ability to link Political Economy and peace, as opposed to highlighting the shortcomings associated with the absence of explicit methodological standards and mixed levels of analysis. Criticism shows that the article provides a useful explanatory framework, but it remains closer to an analytical narrative review than to a well-controlled systematic review. Rafiu concludes that the value of the article lies more in systematizing the literature and opening up new research paths, than in providing definitive causal conclusions.

Preface:

The issue of achieving sustainable peace has become one of the central problems in the field of political systems, especially in countries emerging from conflicts or those suffering from structural institutional fragility. With the growing literature linking conflict and the nature of political and economic institutions, a research trend has emerged that focuses on the analysis of peace as

تاريخ النشر: 2026 /3/31

تاريخ القبول: 2026/ 3/ 15

تاريخ التقديم : 2026/ 1/12 ▽

*Affiliation :Institute for Applied Economics (IAE-CSIC), Barcelona School of Economics (BSE), and Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR), Barcelona, Spain.

**Affiliation: Institute for Applied Economics (IAE-CSIC), Barcelona School of Economics (BSE), and Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR), Barcelona, Spain.

*** رئاسة جامعة النهدين walaa.ali@nahrainuniv.edu.iq

Presidency of Nahrain University.

This is an open access article under the CCBY license CC BY 4.0 Deed | Attribution 4.0 International / | Creative Common" : <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

a product of institutional processes, and not just as a result of political settlements or situational external interventions.

Mayoral and Mueller's article falls within the literature of the political economy of conflicts that seeks to explain the conditions for the transition from armed conflict to peace, by focusing on the role of institutions. The article aims to provide an analytical review (Survey Review) of the research that dealt with the various institutional paths to achieve peace, classifying them among three main approaches: rent, rules, and radical transformation.

In this context, Mayoral and Mueller researchers in their article seek to answer a central question: What are the institutional paths that lead to peace, and how can they be classified within a structured analytical framework? To answer this question, the two researchers adopted the method of a survey review of the literature, in an attempt to provide an explanatory theoretical framework for the paths of peace through institutions.

This critical review starts from the following question: to what extent does the article succeed in providing a coherent and explanatory analytical framework for peacebuilding processes, and what are the limits of its cognitive and methodological contribution within the institutional and conflict literature

1. evaluation of the conceptual framework of the article:

The article adopts a broad concept of institutions, which includes political and legal norms, economic arrangements, and the administrative capacity of the state. The authors are credited with trying to move beyond the narrow understanding of institutions as only formal structures, an orientation that is consistent with the literature of the new institutional economy. (North, 1990) However, this conceptual breadth poses a methodological problem, as the concept of "institutions" is sometimes employed as a comprehensive explanatory variable, without sufficient analysis of the differences between:

- Political versus economic institutions
- Formal versus informal institutions
- The level of corporate design versus the level of actual performance

This overlap reduces the analytical capacity of the framework, especially when comparing the results of studies based on different institutional definitions, which is a problem that has already been highlighted in the institutional comparison literature (Fail, 2012).

2. a critical review of the three paths of peace:

The article under review is based on the classification of the Peacebuilding literature into three main institutional tracks: the path of rent, the path of rules

This is an open access article under the CCBY license CC BY 4.0 Deed | Attribution 4.0 International / | Creative Common" : <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

and institutions, and the path of radical transformation. This classification is intended not so much to offer competing alternatives as to systematize the cognitive field and reveal the logic of each approach and its explanatory boundaries. Based on this, this review critically examines the three tracks, by assessing the theoretical foundations on which they are based, their conceptual consistency, the strength of the evidence they rely on, as well as monitoring their implied reductionism or biases in interpreting the relationship between institutions and peace.

a. The path of rent (Rents)

The article presents the literature that considers the distribution of rents as a tool to contain violence, especially in contexts characterized by weak official institutions. This path offers a pragmatic interpretation of peace as the result of reducing the material incentives for conflict. However, the critical treatment of this path in the article remains limited; no clear distinction is made between:

- rent as a transitional arrangement.
- rent as a sustainable mode of governance.

b. The path of rules and institutions (Rules)

This path is the backbone of the essay, as the authors give it more explanatory weight compared to other paths. This trend is based on the premise that inclusive and accountable institutions reduce the motivation to resort to violence by addressing problems of commitment and credibility. Despite the strength of this thesis, the article tends to treat institution-building as a gradual technical process, with less attention to the political conflicts that accompany the process of institutional reconstruction, which is emphasized by the literature on State-Building and conflict. (Fukuyama, 2014)

c. The path of radical transformation (Revolution)

The article treats this path with obvious caution, presenting it as a high-cost option and not guaranteed results. However, this caution sometimes turns into an implicit bias against literature that sees radical transformations as a condition for re-establishing the social contract, as in some critical approaches to Peace Studies. It is noted that the article does not adequately discuss the distinction between:

- Radical transformation as a chaotic break.
- Radical transformation as an organized institutional re-establishment.

3. criticism of the methodology

Mayoral and Mueller present their article as an analytical review of institutional peace paths, but the article does not explicitly specify the approach

This is an open access article under the CCBY license CC BY 4.0 Deed | Attribution 4.0 International / | Creative Common" : <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

to the selection of the literature covered by the review. The authors do not clarify the time frame of the sources, neither the inclusion and exclusion criteria, nor the search rules or approved databases.

This absence is problematic from the perspective of scientific methodology, because reviews that do not clearly publicize the mechanisms of literature selection are prone to selection bias, and their results become more a reflection of the a priori theoretical framework than the result of a balanced systematic survey of the field of knowledge. Booth and others point out that a review that lacks these criteria cannot be considered a systematic review in the strict sense, but is classified as a narrative review that is used for exploration or theorizing purposes, not to draw broad general conclusions. In this sense, Mayoral and Mueller's failure to clarify the limits of their review weakens the methodological strength of their conclusions, especially when presented as a comprehensive map of institutional peace paths (Booth, 2012).

The article is based on literature that deals with peace from multiple analytical angles, including the level of the state, the level of institutions, and the level of individual incentives, but this diversity is not accompanied by a methodological framework that explains how to link these levels or justify the evidentiary transition between them. This confusion leads to a weakening of the conceptual consistency of the audit, since sometimes general patterns at the state level are deduced from studies focusing on the behavior of individual actors, or vice versa. Ragin warns that the uncontrolled transition between levels of analysis is one of the most common sources of weakness in comparative research, as it causes inaccurate generalizations and confusion in the interpretation of causal relationships. Accordingly, Mayoral and Mueller's failure to address this problem reduces the article's ability to provide a coherent analytical framework for peace tracks, and makes some of its conclusions open to question in terms of their explanatory validity. (Ragin, 1987)

The article tends to draw on empirical studies of an associative nature when discussing the impact of institutions on the sustainability of peace, using these results to build an explanatory narrative of a causal nature. However, the article does not adequately discuss the methodological problems associated with causal inference, such as causal overlap (endogeneity) or the possibility of an inverse relationship, where conflict may be a cause of institutional weakness and not just a consequence of it .

Angrist and Pischke emphasize that the use of associative evidence in constructing causal inferences requires strict methodological tools and explicit

clarification of the limits of inference, which is not sufficiently available in the article under review. As a result, some of the explanations offered by the article about the effectiveness of institutional paths to peace seem closer to theoretical assumptions partially supported by the literature, rather than to well-established causal conclusions. (Angrist, 2009)

It is noted that the article gives a clear priority to economic–institutional approaches in the analysis of peace paths, with a limited presence of historical or critical literature focused on context, collective memory, power relations. Although this approach may be understandable within the framework of the journal's specialization, the article does not provide an explicit methodological justification for this choice, nor does it discuss its impact on the comprehensiveness of the review.

4. scientific contribution and its limitations:

The article clearly contributes to:

- Reorganization of the Peacebuilding literature within an inclusive institutional framework
- Breaking the traditional separation between political economy and Conflict Studies
- Provide a useful analytical ground for researchers and policy makers

In contrast, his contribution remains more organizational and analytical than a development of a new theory, and some of his general conclusions suffer from excessive breadth that reduces their explanatory accuracy.

Conclusion:

It can be said that Mayoral and Mueller's article represents a sober scientific review that contributes to the crystallization of a multidimensional institutional understanding of peacebuilding pathways. However, its organizational strength is not without conceptual and methodological limitations related to the breadth of concepts, the analysis of causality, the balance of the approved literature. Thus, the article is an important addition to the field, but it does not close the discussion so much as redirects it towards more complex questions about the nature of institutions and their role in conflict management.

References:

- Angrist, J. D.-S. (2009). Mostly Harmless Econometrics: An Empiricist's Companion. In J. D.-S. Angrist, *Mostly Harmless Econometrics: An Empiricist's Companion* (pp. 3-8). Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- Booth, A. A. (2012). Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review . In A. A. Booth, *Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review* (pp. 27-32). London.
- Fail, W. N. (2012). Why Nations Fail. In D. & Acemoglu, *Why Nations Fail*.
- Fukuyama, F. (2014). Political Order and Political Decay. In F. Fukuyama, *Political Order and Political Decay*.
- North, D. (. (1990). Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. In D. North, *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance* (p. p3).
- Ragin, C. C. (1987). The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies . In C. C. Ragin, *The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies* (pp. 9-13). Berkeley: University of California: University of California.